



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/532,974

04/28/2005

Tadao Nakaya

NFA-0211

9131

74384

7590

10/24/2008

Cheng Law Group, PLLC  
1100 17th Street, N.W.  
Suite 503  
Washington, DC 20036

EXAMINER

NELSON, MICHAEL E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1794

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/24/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/532,974	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NAKAYA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MICHAEL E. NELSON	<b>Art Unit</b> 1794	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MICHAEL E. NELSON. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Lee Cheng, 40,949. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 20 October 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant could not confirm nor deny that a response was not filed in this case. However, given that it has been more than 6 months since the mailing of the office action on 3/17/08, the examiner is setting forth a Notice of Abandonment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Callie E. Shosho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794
--	--